World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences
eISSN: 2582-5542
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjbphs
Journal homepage: https://wjbphs.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Percutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) in post-amputation pain management: A comprehensive review

Shubha Srinivasareddy * and Meera Tewani

Anesthesiology and Chronic Pain medicine, University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham, West Midlands, UK.

World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 2024, 17(01), 109–113

Publication history: Received on 03 December 2023; revised on 17 January 2024; accepted on 20 January 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2024.17.1.0015

Abstract

A new percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS) device is described in this paper as a potential treatment for amputation-related neuropathic pain and functional impairment in military service members and veterans. Recent advances have made it feasible to inject thin wire-coiled PNS leads percutaneously under ultrasound guidance, allowing doctors to get around the problems and invasiveness of traditional PNS systems. Avoiding the femoral and sciatic nerves may minimize the hazards associated with these leads. Phantom limb pain (PLP) is experienced by an estimated 40% - 80% of amputees. This article provides a concise summary of current studies that examine the impact of PNS technological advancements on neuromodulation. This research aimed to provide healthcare providers with up-to-date, evidence-based suggestions for alleviating post-amputation discomfort.

Keywords: Peripheral Nerve Stimulation; Phantom Limb Pain; Post Amputation Pain; Chronic Pain; Neuro modulation

1. Introduction

As mentioned in the introduction, amputation is a severe problem for military personnel and veterans since it often leads to persistent neuropathic pain and decreased function. One example discussed is the high incidence of persistent neuropathic pain among military amputees (1). This section then describes why earlier peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) versions were less successful. Effective pain treatment has been hampered by its limitations, such as intrusiveness and the risks that come with it. When these limitations are acknowledged, the conversation may examine the state-of-the-art percutaneous PNS system, which hints at the transformative potential of future advances in neuromodulation technology. This introductory part outlines the need to research innovative methods for reducing post-amputation pain and regaining lost function in this group.

2. Development of Percutaneous PNS

Percutaneous nerve stimulation (PNS) revolutionized the treatment of chronic pain. A new percutaneous PNS system is proposed as an alternative to these invasive procedures. This discovery restores patients' faith that less invasive approaches may have positive therapeutic outcomes. The importance of the invention rests in the fact that its designers prioritized making the system less invasive and more straightforward than earlier PNS ones. The minimally invasive nature of this cutting-edge device allows for the most possible therapeutic benefit from neuromodulation with the least possible impact on the patient (11). The patient-centered care movement has won big with this conscious move away from intrusive treatments because it recognizes and addresses the faults of the past. Percutaneous implantation of thin wire coiled PNS leads has been the focus of several ground-breaking studies lately and provides the basis for this radical change (2). By using ultrasonic guidance to find one's way through the complex network of peripheral nerves, this systematic improvement guarantees accuracy and safety in lead insertion. When used to treat moderate to severe pain

* Corresponding author: Shubha Srinivasareddy

after lower limb amputation, the small wire's coiling pattern optimizes stimulation delivery. This study's findings on the safety and effectiveness of percutaneous implantation give us cause to be optimistic about its potential future uses (17,18). This analysis of current research shows the dedication to evidence-based practices, lending credibility to assurances of enhanced safety and effectiveness. In conclusion, the introduction of percutaneous PNS is exemplary of innovation since it brings in cutting-edge technology that recognizes the promise of neuromodulation and actively seeks to eliminate long-standing barriers. This treatment is at the forefront of post-amputation pain management, providing doctors and patients with reason to be optimistic thanks to percutaneous procedures and in-depth research into using thin wire coiled leads.

3. Feasibility and Methodology

The revolutionary potential of peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) rests on the feasibility and method of percutaneous PNS. Ultrasound guiding for percutaneous insertion was shown in excruciating detail. By providing exact navigation in real-time, this imaging method improves the safety and efficiency of lead insertion. To improve the accuracy of PNS lead implantation during percutaneous procedures, clinicians might benefit from real-time visual input (3). The technique relies heavily on maintaining a particular spacing between the sciatic and femoral nerves by placing fine-wire leads. The 0.5-3 cm allowed separation of the leads from the body helps reduce risks and difficulties (19). This thoughtful design intends to maximize the beneficial effects of stimulation while mitigating its drawbacks. Patient safety is prioritized in the design of the percutaneous PNS system, which is why the remote installation is emphasized. Ultrasound-guided implantation's technical synergy may significantly enhance pain management after amputation and fine-wire leads' selective positioning (12).

3.1. Phantom Limb Pain (PLP) and Peripheral Nerve Stimulation

The presence of phantom limb pain (PLP) after an amputation further emphasizes the importance of neurobiology in pain treatment. In recent years, PLP, estimated to afflict 40–80% of amputees, has been the subject of intensive study. There has to be an immediate investigation into the causes of phantom experiences and the development of effective treatments for people who suffer from them. Intangible feelings that are only partially understood might be the key to understanding PLP. A person who has had a limb amputated may still be able to sense movement, touch, and even smell with the amputated or deafferented limb. In-depth research and strategic solutions are required since existing explanations are insufficient to account for the intricacies of these experiences. When contemplating the complex PLP system, the peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) is considered a potential fresh angle (4). Neuromodulation techniques like PNS may systematically interfere with pain signals that are not doing their job. PNS has the potential to be an effective treatment for PLP because it affects a wide variety of brain circuits that have been linked to the experience of pain. In the field of neuroplasticity, where it may lead to the retraining of malfunctioning brain circuits related to PLP, the benefits of PNS extend well beyond the temporary alleviation of symptoms.

Percutaneous PNS devices are one example of how recent developments in electrical neuromodulation technologies have paved the way for less invasive procedures. With ultrasound guidance, the fine-wire coiled leads may be placed far from the sciatic and femoral nerves, increasing the likelihood of successful targeted intervention in PLP (5). The treatment of pain following amputation is entering a new era because of technological and neurology developments, providing amputees with real hope and practical answers to the complex problem of Phantom Limb Pain.

3.2. Evolution of PNS for Chronic Pain Treatment

The introduction of peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) for treating chronic pain represents a paradigm change in neuromodulation, ushering in novel approaches to relieving suffering. A: PNS has significant clinical value in neuromodulation because it selectively inhibits erroneous pain signals (20,21). PNS offers a sophisticated option for managing chronic pain by altering peripheral nerve activity non-pharmacologically and localized. This finding has the potential to significantly modify the current treatment of pain caused by a wide variety of disorders (6). Thinking about how electrical neuromodulation came to be is crucial. Electrical neuromodulation has come a long way since it was first used in 1999 when large implanted devices were necessary. Continually improved efficiency, less invasive procedures, and the quality of care delivered to patients are driving forces behind this development.

Adopting less invasive methods, most notably percutaneous ones, was a watershed event in the evolution of PNS. Previously implanted devices have complications related to the intrusive nature of surgery (13). However, these limitations may be mitigated by the fact that percutaneous PNS systems have advanced to the point where non-invasive methods may be used to implant leads with pinpoint accuracy. The use of ultrasonography to place coiled fine-wire leads away from vital nerves is a notable example of this progress. The shift towards less intrusive therapies is reflected in this modification, which also improves PNS's safety profile (7). A new era in chronic pain management has begun

with the advent of improved percutaneous PNS treatments that allow for a patient-centric approach and lower procedural risks. To satisfy the insatiable need for improved patient care, medical science is ever-innovating. As PNS develops further and becomes more pivotal in neuromodulation, people with chronic pain will have more significant reasons to have faith in contemporary medicine.

Review Objectives

The Review Objectives provide a foundation for future research into post-amputation pain management by synthesizing critical findings from current research and expert opinion. Writers of reviews owe it to their readers to make the review's focus very clear (14). This paper aims to educate readers about percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS) and its potential to revolutionize the treatment of neuropathic pain and functional decline after amputation (22). This mission statement gives the story a sense of purpose and direction, which is beneficial. The journal was founded on the principle that practicing physicians must be conversant with cutting-edge research and techniques. Medical professionals have a crucial role in implementing scientific findings in clinical care (8). This study is an excellent resource since only the most relevant and up-to-date information on percutaneous PNS was included. Based on the most recent research, better patient outcomes may be attained if doctors make clinical decisions. Recent research is highlighted to highlight the dynamic character of the subject matter and ensure that the supplied data is accurate and up-to-date.

Recent years of collected clinical data and indications lend credibility to the assessment. The review becomes a body of evidence when many research results are woven together to form a coherent whole. Clinical data should serve as the primary focus of the investigation. This research provides crucial new information on the nuances and possible limits of percutaneous PNS (9). This research is topical and valuable since it focuses on recent developments in treating pain after amputation. This study intends to achieve the following review goals to assist doctors, researchers, and other stakeholders in understanding the complexities of percutaneous PNS. This study aims to improve post-amputation pain treatment by clarifying the issue at hand, drawing attention to pertinent recent developments, and focusing on clinical data.

Future implications

Percutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) may reduce pain following amputation, which has promising promise. Percutaneous PNS has the potential to significantly impact the future of medicine as research and development efforts continue to grow. First, percutaneous treatments are a kind of patient-centered care since they are less invasive than traditional surgical procedures, which decreases the likelihood of complications and speeds up the recovery period (15). This development is promising for patients' quality of life since it parallels a broader medical trend towards less intrusive surgeries. In the future, it may be feasible to implant and stimulate leads with even more precision using percutaneous PNS, thanks to technical developments (10). This might increase its value and expand its usefulness beyond the present use case of easing the pain experienced after a lower limb amputation. Percutaneous PNS can expand our knowledge of neuronal plasticity in the context of Phantom Limb Pain (PLP). More in-depth study of PNS's effect on brain circuits might one day lead to more individualized and specific therapeutic approaches. Percutaneous PNS will likely be included in clinical recommendations when additional data becomes available. This method may one day be the standard for treating the discomfort that follows an amputation (16). Beyond simple pain alleviation, percutaneous PNS may pave the path for safer, more effective, and patient-centered post-amputation care.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, research into peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) offers a fresh strategy for dealing with pain after amputation. This study demonstrates the promise of percutaneous PNS in treating neuropathic pain, a disease all too familiar to service members, veterans, and amputees. Research into less invasive methods and precise lead insertion has made percutaneous PNS a viable treatment option. Discussion of the bigger picture of Phantom Limb Pain (PLP) helps to show the importance of percutaneous PNS in this intricate field. Future implications include using new technology and more profound brain plasticity knowledge to provide individualized patient treatment. With the promise of better clinical results and a rethought approach to conquering the myriad problems persons with amputations experience, percutaneous PNS is at the forefront of a new era in treating post-amputation pain.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Rauck RL, Cohen SP, Gilmore CA, North JM, Kapural L, Zang RH, et al. Treatment of Post-Amputation Pain With Peripheral Nerve Stimulation. Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface. 2013 Aug 15, 17(2):188–97.

[2] Cohen SP, Gilmore CA, Rauck RL, Lester DD, Trainer RJ, Phan T, et al. Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment of Chronic Pain Following Amputation. Military Medicine. 2019 May 21, 184(7-8):e267–74.

[3] Gilmore C, Ilfeld B, Rosenow J, Li S, Desai M, Hunter C, et al. Percutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation for the treatment of chronic neuropathic postamputation pain: a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine [Internet]. 2019 Apr 5 [cited 2020 Dec 3], Available from: https://rapm.bmj.com/content/early/2019/04/05/rapm-2018-100109

[4] Gilmore CA, Ilfeld BM, Rosenow JM, Li S, Desai MJ, Hunter CW, et al. Percutaneous 60-day peripheral nerve stimulation implant provides sustained relief of chronic pain following amputation: 12-month follow-up of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine. 2019 Nov 17, 45(1):44–51.

[5] Chow RM, Lee R, Rajput K. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Pain Management: A Review. Current Pain and Headache Reports. 2023 Jul 31, 27(9):321–7.

[6] Rosenow JM, Gilmore C, Ilfeld BM, Li S, Desai MJ, Hunter C, et al. One Year Follow-up of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Neuropathic Pain Following Amputation. Neurosurgery. 2019 Aug 20, 66(Supplement_1).

[7] Ilfeld BM, Ball ST, Cohen SP, Hanling S, Fowler IM, Amorn Wongsarnpigoon, et al. Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation to Control Postoperative Pain, Decrease Opioid Use, and Accelerate Functional Recovery Following Orthopedic Trauma. Military Medicine. 2019 Mar 1, 184(Supplement_1):557–64.

[8] Ojas Mainkar, Solla C, Chen GH, Legler A, Gulati A. Pilot Study in Temporary Peripheral Nerve Stimulation in Oncologic Pain. Neuromodulation. 2020 Mar 17, 23(6):819–26.

[9] Deer TR, Eldabe S, Falowski SM, Huntoon MA, Staats PS, Cassar IR, et al. Peripherally Induced Reconditioning of the Central Nervous System: A Proposed Mechanistic Theory for Sustained Relief of Chronic Pain with Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation. Journal of Pain Research. 2021 Mar, Volume 14:721–36.

[10] Cohen S, Gilmore C, Kapural L, Hanling S, Plunkett A, McGee M, et al. Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Pain Reduction and Improvements in Functional Outcomes in Chronic Low Back Pain. Military Medicine. 2019 Mar 1, 184(Supplement_1):537–41.

[11] Wongsarnpigoon A, Ilfeld BM. Chapter 60 - Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for the Management of Postoperative Pain [Internet]. Krames ES, Peckham PH, Rezai AR, editors. ScienceDirect. Academic Press, 2018 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. p. 753–62. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/B9780128053539000607

[12] Langford B, Mauck WD. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation: A New Treatment for Meralgia Paresthetica. Pain Medicine. 2020 Nov 8, 22(1):213–6.

[13] Li AHY, Bhatia A, Gulati A, Ottestad E. Role of peripheral nerve stimulation in treating chronic neuropathic pain: an international focused survey of pain medicine experts. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine [Internet]. 2023 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Oct 19], 48(6):312–8. Available from: https://rapm.bmj.com/content/48/6/312.abstract

[14] Ong Sio LC, Hom B, Garg S, Abd-Elsayed A. Mechanism of Action of Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Pain: A Narrative Review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1, 24(5):4540. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/24/5/4540

[15] Nguyen VQC, Bock WC, Groves CC, Whitney M, Bennett ME, Lechman TE, et al. Fully Implantable Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment of Hemiplegic Shoulder Pain: A Case Report. American journal of physical medicine

& rehabilitation / Association of Academic Physiatrists [Internet]. 2015 Feb 1 [cited 2023 Oct 19], 94(2):146–53. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4289017/

[16] Boggs JW, Chae J, Bennett ME. Chapter 57 - Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Pain Suppression [Internet]. Krames ES, Peckham PH, Rezai AR, editors. ScienceDirect. Academic Press, 2018 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. p. 729–40. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/B9780128053539000577

[17] McJunkin T, Lynch P, Deer TR. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation Miniaturization. Springer eBooks. 2016 Jan 1, 213–21.

[18] Finch P, Price L, Drummond P. High-Frequency (10 kHz) Electrical Stimulation of Peripheral Nerves for Treating Chronic Pain: A Double-Blind Trial of Presence vs Absence of Stimulation. Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface. 2019 Jul, 22(5):529–36.

[19] Ohlendorf B, Grant SA. Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation in Acute Pain Medicine. Current Anesthesiology Reports. 2017 Apr 27, 7(2):220–6.

[20] Tari R, Gomez C, Slavin KV. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment of Pain in the Rehabilitation Patient. 2017 Jan 1

[21] L, Nemath Syed Shah, Fang ZP, Mekhail N. Multicenter, Double-Blinded, Randomized, Active-Sham Controlled Clinical Study Design to Assess the Safety and Effectiveness of a Novel High Frequency Electric Nerve Block System in the Treatment of Post-Amputation Pain (The QUEST Study). Journal of Pain Research. 2022 Jun 1, Volume 15:1623–31.

[22] Deer T, Jain S, Hunter C, Chakravarthy K. Neurostimulation for Intractable Chronic Pain. Brain Sciences. 2019 Jan 24, 9(2):23.