

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Study of bovine trypanosomiasis in Mali: Case of the Kita region

Modibo Diakité ¹, Brahima Sacko ^{1, 2, *}, Chaka TRAORE ¹, Youssouf Gouro Diall ¹, Amadou Sery ², Mama Diarra ¹, Oumar Marico ³, Fily Sissoko ¹ and Sekouba Bengaly ¹

¹ Diagnostic and Research Service of Central Veterinary Laboratory of Bamako (Mali).

² Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Science, Technology and Technology, Bamako, Mali.

³ Parasitology unit of the laboratory of Kati hospital (Mali)

World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 2024, 20(03), 401-406

Publication history: Received on 02 November 2024; revised on 16 December 2024; accepted on 18 December 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2024.20.3.1015

Abstract

This study conducted between September and December 2022 in six municipalities in the Kita circle, with the aim of determining the prevalence of bovine *trypanosomiasis*. A sample of 450 cattle had their blood taken to test for trypanosomes. The cattle examined consisted of 239 females and 211 males. The different species of trypanosomes identified using the Buffy coat technique. This technique made it possible to obtain a prevalence of trypanosomes of 22.67%. This prevalence varied depending on sex (male 25.12% and female 20.50%), age (young 33.05% and adults 11.21%) and the species of trypanosomes (*Trypanosoma. congolense* 61.76%, *T. vivax* 26.47% and *T. brucei* 11.76%).

Keywords: Prevalence; Trypanosomosis; Bovine; Mali

1. Introduction

Livestock breeding is the second most important economic activity in rural areas of Mali. This subsector contributes approximately 15% to the GDP and 44% to the agricultural GDP of Mali. It represents the third largest export product after gold and cotton. Livestock represents around 20% of total exports. Livestock constitutes the source of income for more than 30% of the population of Mali, according to the DNPIA in 2019. However, African trypanosomiasis constitutes a major constraint to the development of livestock in several African countries and in Mali in particular rural in view of the enormous losses it causes. The direct and indirect losses of AAT (African Animal Trypanosomiasis) in production and disease control investments vary between 1 and 1.5 billion US dollars per year in Africa, FAO [8]. A Trypanosomoses are parasitic blood disorders caused by protozoa belonging to the genus *Trypanosoma* and the family *Trypanomastidae*, which multiply in blood plasma, lymph and various tissues, including the heart muscle and the central nervous system of mammals THOMIERES [13]. In Africa, various blood-sucking insects, the most important of which are tsetse flies, which constitute the true intermediate host of these parasites, transmit these species. Tsetse flies are exclusively African insects Itard,[9]. In Mali four species have been reported: two riverine *Glossina palpalis* gambiensis and G. tachinoides) and two savannah G. morsitans submorsitans and G. longipalpis). G. morsitans submorsitans DJITEYE and al [7]. The presence of Glossina morsitans and Glossina palpalis has been reported in several localities in the Kita circle, notably the localities of Toukoto, Kourounikoto, Faréna, Kita, Djélikébafata, Makodi and Kouroundi, DJITEYE and al [7]. Pathogenic trypanosomes affecting livestock in tsetse-infested areas in Mali are Trypanosoma congolense, T. vivax and T. brucei. The subspecies T. brucei evansi affects camels outside the area infested by tsetse flies, it is transmitted by mechanical vectors DIALL 0 [5]. Despite the tsetse fly surveys carried out in the Kita circle, very few studies have been carried out on the prevalence of trypanosomosis in this circle. This is why the present study was conducted to improve knowledge of the trypanosomiasis situation in the Kita circle.

^{*} Corresponding author: Brahima Sacko

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

2. Material and methods

The blood sample was taken from the jugular vein of 450 cattle in the communes of Bougaribaya, Djidjan, Founia-Benkady, Kassaro, Sébécoro and Souransan-Tomoto using a sampling needle in the "Vaccutainer" tubes.' under vacuum containing the anticoagulant "EDTA". The site code, animal identification number and date of collection were marked on each tube. The tubes containing the blood collected were placed in a rack then kept in a cooler containing pieces of ice, then sent to the Central Veterinary Laboratory of Bamako. For the search for trypanosomes, the diagnostic technique used was the "Buffy coat" which consists of filling 2/3 of a microtube with blood from each vaccutainer tube and sealing one end of the microtube with plasticine (paste). seal) then Centrifuge the microtube in a hematocrit centrifuge for 5 minutes at 12,000 rpm then Cut the microtube using with a diamond-tipped pencil 1mm below the interphase so as to include the layer of red blood cells. Through the broken end of the microtube, a drop is placed on a slide and covered with a coverslip then we proceed to microscopic observation. The results obtained following the microscopic observations entered with Microsoft EXCEL 2010 software and analyzed with Stata version 12.1 software. The "chi 2" test used to compare the different variables.

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of bovine *trypanosomiasis*

450 cattle were examined, among which 102 positive subjects were recorded, representing a prevalence rate of 22.67%. Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference (p<0.05) between the prevalence rates of *Trypanosoma congolense* (61.76%), T. vivax (26.47%) and T. brucei (11.76%) parasites.), (Table 1).

Resultats	Number	Prevalence (%)	Stat	
Negative	348	77,33	X-squared = 266.78,	
Positive	102	22,67	<i>p-value < 2.2^{e-16}</i>	
T.brucei	12	11,76	X-squared = 60.618,	
T.congolense	63	61,76	<i>p-value = 6.871</i> ^{<i>e-14</i>}	
T.vivax	27	26,47		
Total	102/450	22,67	-	

Table 1 Prevalence of bovine *trypanosomiasis*

3.2. Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis by sex

The number of cattle examined consisted of 239 females and 211 males. Among females, 49 individuals were positive for trypanosomosis, representing a prevalence of 20.50%. Among males, 53 subjects were positive; representing an overall prevalence rate of 25.12%. In female cattle, there was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the different species of *trypanosomes T.congolense* (65.31%), *T.vivax* (22.45%) and T.brucei (12.24%) (Table 2)

There was a significant difference (p<0.05) between trypanosome species in male cattle whose prevalence rates are respectively 65.31% for *T. congolense*, 58.49% for *T. vivax* and 30. 19% for *T. brucei* 11.32%, (Table 2)

Table 2 Prevalence of bovine	trypanosomiasis by sex
------------------------------	------------------------

Sex	Results	Number	Prevalence (%)	Stat
	Négative	190	79,50	X-squared = 164.02,
Female	Positive	49	20,50	<i>p-value < 2.2^{e-16}</i>
	Total 1	239		
	T.brucei	6	12,24	X-squared = 34.959,
	T.congolense	32	65,31	p-value = 2.563 ^{e-08}

	T.vivax	11	22,45		
Male	Negative	158	74,88	X-squared = 102.52,	
	Positive	53	25,12	<i>p-value < 2.2^{e-16}</i>	
	Total 2	211			
	T.brucei	6	11,32	X-squared = 26.887,	
	T.congolense	31	58,49	<i>p-value = 1.451^{e-06}</i>	
	T.vivax	16	30,19		
Total		102/450	22,67	-	

3.3. Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis according to age

In total, 78 young cattle were positive for trypanosomiasis, representing an overall prevalence of 33.05%. Statistical analysis revealed in these young cattle, a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the species T.congolense (60.26%), T.vivax (24.36%) and T.brucei (24.36%) (Table 3). In adult cattle, 24 positive cases of trypanosomiasis recorded, representing an overall prevalence of 11.21%. Statistical analysis of the results revealed a significant difference (p<0.05) between the different species of trypanosomes T.congolense (66.67%), T.vivax (33.33%) and T.brucei (0.00%) (Table 3).

Table 3 Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis accordin	ng to age
	0 0 .

Age	results	Number	Prevalence (%)	Stat	
young	Negative	158	66,95	X-squared = 52.89,	
	Positive	78	33,05	<i>p-value = 3.528</i> ^{<i>e-13</i>}	
	Total 1	236			
	T.brucei	12	15,38	X-squared = 39.577,	
	T.congolense	47	60,26	p-value = 2.547 ^{e-09}	
	T.vivax	19	24,36		
	Negative	190	88,79	X-squared = 254.44, p-value < 2.2 ^{e-16}	
	Positive	24	11,21		
Adults	Total 2	214		-	
	T.brucei	0	0,00	X-squared = 24, <i>p-value</i> = 6.144 ^{e-06}	
	T.congolense	16	66,67		
	T.vivax	8	33,33]	
Total		102/450	22,67	-	

3.4. Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis by municipality

Statistical analysis of the results revealed an overall prevalence rate of *trypanosomiasis* of 22.67% in the six communes of the Kita circle. The commune of Kassaro (32.00%) recorded the highest prevalence rate. The lowest prevalence rate observed in the commune of Souransan Tomoto (18.67%). The communes of Bougaribaya, Djidjan, Founia Benkady and Sébécoro each recorded an overall prevalence of 21.33%.

Table 4 Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis by municipality

Cercle	municipality	Results	Number	Prevalence (%)
	Bougaribaya	Negative	59	78,67
		Positive	16	21,33
		S/total 11	75	-
Kita		T.brucei	0	0,00
KILd		T.congolense	9	56,25
		T.vivax	7	43,75
	Djidjan	Negative	59	78,67
		Positive	16	21,33
		S/total 12	75	
		T.brucei	1	6,25
		T.congolense	12	75,00
		T.vivax	3	18,75
	Founia Benkady	Negative	59	78,67
		Positive	16	21,33
		S/total 13	75	
		T.brucei	3	18,75
		T.congolense	10	62,50
		T.vivax	3	18,75
	Kassaro	Negative	51	68,00
		Positive	24	32,00
		S/total 14	75	
		T.brucei	2	8,33
		T.congolense	16	66,67
		T.vivax	6	25,00
	Sébécoro	Negative	59	78,67
		Positive	16	21,33
		S/total 15	75	
		T.brucei	3	18,75
		T.congolense	10	62,50
		T.vivax	3	18,75
	Souransan Tomoto	Négatifs	61	81,33
		Positifs	14	18,67
		S/total 16	75	
		T.brucei	3	21,43
		T.congolense	6	42,86
		T.vivax	5	35,71
	Total 3	L	102/450	22,67

4. Discussion

Le The overall prevalence rate of bovine *trypanosomosis* in the cercle de Kita was 22.67%. This result is comparable to the work of Dawit and al[4], who recorded a prevalence rate of 25.8%. Bocoum et al[3] obtained different results after observing an overall prevalence rate of 30.86%. Different results obtained by Ashagrie and al[1] who observed an overall prevalence rate of 4.7%. This high prevalence of bovine *trypanosomosis* could be due to the crossbreeding of trypanotolerant local breeds from the Kita region with trypanosensitive Moorish zebus from the Sahelian zones of the Diéma and Nioro circles. The uncontrolled treatment of sick cattle by pastoralists and agro-pastoralists without the assistance of veterinary services could also be at the origin of the increase in the prevalence rate of this disease in the Kita cercle. This high prevalence rate could also be explained by the reported presence in several localities in the Kita cercle of the main vectors of the three trypanosome species, notably Glossina morsitans and Glossina palpalis DJITEYE and al [7]. The overall prevalence rates observed for the trypanosomes Trypanosoma. Congolense, T. vivax and T. brucei are 61.76%, 26.47% and 11.76% respectively. The predominance of Trypanosoma congolense over the other two species may be due to their high concentration in the blood of infected cattle. Similar results obtained by Dinaol and al [6], who reported prevalence rates of 60% for Trypanosoma congolense, 28% for Trpanosoma vivax and 12% for Trpanosoma brucei. Our results differ from those obtained by Bocoum and al [3] who observed prevalence rates of 89.72% for *T. congolense*, 9.58% for T. vivax, and 0.68% for *T. brucei* for the different trypanosome species. Our results also differ from those obtained by Tilahun and al [14] who observed prevalence rates of 66.67%, 28.89% and 2.22% for the trypanosomes Trypanosoma. Congolense, T. vivax and T. brucei. The overall prevalence rates of trypanosomosis observed in male and female cattle are 20.50% and 25.12% respectively. This shows that male and female cattle have the same level of susceptibility to *trypanosomiasis*. These results are comparable to those obtained by Dawit et al [4], who observed overall prevalence rates of 26.9% in male cattle and 24.7% in females. Our results differ from those obtained by Sitena [12], who observed overall prevalences of 1.4% and 2.7% in male and female cattle respectively. Different results obtained by Ashagrie et al [1] who observed prevalence rates of 4.6% in male cattle and 4.8% in females. Our results also differ from those obtained by Bekele and al [2] who observed prevalence rates of 3.55% and 7.47% in male and female cattle. The overall prevalence rates of trypanosomosis observed in young and adult cattle were 33.05% and 11.21% respectively. This could be explained by the fact that young cattle were 3 to 4 months old and grazed with adult cattle. Our results differ from those obtained by Dawit and al [4] who observed prevalence rates of 54.7% in adult cattle and 5% in young cattle. Our results also differ from those obtained by Jirata et al [10], who observed prevalence rates of 3.80% in young and 32.60% in adult cattle.

5. Conclusion

The results of this study show that bovine trypanosomes are present in the Kita cercle and constitute a constraint for cattle breeding.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest disclsoed.

References

- [1] Ashagrie T, Zewde D (2021) Epidemiology of bovine *trypanosomsis* and its risk factors in West Gojam and Awi Zone of Amhara regional State, Ethiopia. J Vet Med Res 8(2): 1207
- [2] Bekele Dinsa, Moti Yohannes, Hailu Degefu and Mezene Woyesa (2013). Cross sectional study of bovine trypanosomosis and major clinical signs observed in Diga District, Western Ethiopia.
- [3] Bocoum Z, Diarra M, Maiga HM, Sanogo Y, Sylla MSM, et al. (2012) Prevalence in the Bovine Trypanosomiasis Kadiolo Circle. J Community Med
- [4] Health Educ 2:183. doi:10.4172/2161-0711.1000183
- [5] Dawit Tesfaye and Nuraddis Ibrahim (2017). Prevalence of Bovine *Trypanosomosis* in Assosa District of Benishangul Gumuz Regional State, Ethiopia. *Advan. Biol. Res., 11 (1): 13-17, 2017.*
- [6] DIALL O, 1993. Camel trypanosomosis in Mali. Contribution to the diagnosis and the epidemiology. Doctor of Science thesis, IMT. Anvers, Belgium, 92 p.

- [7] Dinaol Tolawak, Kassahun Berrie, Yagoob Garedagh, Mahendra Pal, (2022). Prevalence of Bovine Trypanosomosis and Associated Risk Factors in Jima Geneti District of the Horo Guduru Wollega Zone in Ethiopia. International Journal of Medical Parasitology & Epidemiology Sciences
- [8] Djiteye A, Moloo S.K, Foua Bi K, Touré M, Boiré S, Bengaly S, Coulibaly E, .Diarra M, Traoré D, Ouattara I, Coulibaly Z. Updated data on tsetse fly distribution in Mali. Elev Review. Med. vet. Country Too, 1997, 50 (2): 126-132
- [9] FAO. Rome. (1994). A systematic approach to tsetse and trypanosomosis control, Proceedings of the FAO panel of Experts, Rome, 1-3 December 1993, Rome 1994, 96 p.
- [10] ITARD J., 2000. African Animal Trypanosomoses. Précis de Parasitologie Vétérinaire Tropicale. Edition Tec & Doc. p 206-450.
- [11] Jirata Shiferaw Abosse, Zehara Mohamed Ayele, Abdi Feyisa Fufa, (2020). Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Bovine Trypanosomiasis In And Around Itang District Of Gambella Region, Western Ethiopia. Research and Reviews: Research Journal of Biology eISSN: 2322-0066. RRJOB| Volume 8 | Issue 3 |November, 2020.
- [12] Report national animal production management and animals Industry (DNPIA) 2019
- [13] Sitena Kebede Mohammed, (2024). Prevalence of Bovine Trypanosomiasis and Its Risk Factors in and Around Zenzelima, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *EAS J Vet Med Sci*; Vol-6, Iss-1 (Mar-Apr, 2024): 19-23.
- [14] Thomieres C. (1996). The trypanocides market, SANOFI Symposium on trypanosome control, July 2-3 1996, Bordeaux, France.
- [15] Tilahun Z., Jiregna D., Solomon K, Haimanot D., Girma K, Abebe O. and. Sanbata T, (2014). Prevalence of Bovine Trypanosomosis, its Vector Density and Distribution in Dale Sadi District, Kellem Wollega Zone, Ethiopia. *Acta Parasitologica Globalis 5 (2): 107-114, 2014. Acta Parasitologica Globalis 5 (2): 107-114, 2014*