

Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) in Urban Environments: A comprehensive analysis of sources, health impacts, and EPA-based monitoring methodologies

Kayode Adeoye ^{1,*}, David Asuquo Ante ² and Adebayo Owoade ³

¹ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America.

² Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, United States of America.

³ Department of Mathematics - Computational and Quantitative Methods, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America.

World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 2025, 23(02), 323-329

Publication history: Received on 09 July 2025; revised on 16 August 2025; accepted on 18 August 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2025.23.2.0759>

Abstract

Fine particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{2.5}) represents one of the most significant air quality challenges in contemporary urban environments. This comprehensive review examines PM_{2.5} from source characterization through health impact assessment, with particular emphasis on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Federal Reference Methods (FRM) and analytical approaches. We synthesize current understanding of PM_{2.5} chemical composition, formation mechanisms, and atmospheric behavior while evaluating monitoring technologies and regulatory frameworks. Recent ACS publications demonstrate that global PM_{2.5} concentrations continue to exceed WHO guidelines in many regions, with satellite-based estimates revealing significant seasonal and spatial variations. Exposure to ambient PM_{2.5} reduces global life expectancy by approximately 1.0 year, with reductions of 1.2-1.9 years in heavily polluted regions of Asia and Africa. EPA Federal Reference Methods provide the analytical foundation for regulatory compliance, utilizing gravimetric sampling with specialized filter media and quality assurance protocols. This analysis identifies critical research gaps in source apportionment methodologies, personal exposure assessment techniques, and the development of component-specific health standards. Future research priorities include advancing real-time chemical speciation capabilities, improving understanding of ultrafine particle contributions, and developing integrated monitoring approaches that combine traditional FRM techniques with emerging sensor technologies.

Keywords: PM_{2.5}; Particulate matter; EPA Federal Reference Method; Urban air quality; Atmospheric chemistry; Health impacts; Monitoring

1. Introduction

Atmospheric particulate matter smaller than 2.5 micrometers in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{2.5}) has emerged as the most extensively studied and regulated component of ambient air pollution (Southerland et al., 2022). The significance of PM_{2.5} stems from its unique physical and chemical properties that enable deep respiratory penetration, extended atmospheric residence times, and complex interactions with human physiological systems (WHO, 2021). Unlike coarser particles that deposit in upper respiratory regions, PM_{2.5} can penetrate to alveolar surfaces where gas exchange occurs, facilitating systemic distribution of particle-associated compounds and contributing to approximately 4 million deaths globally from cardiopulmonary illnesses (Manisalidis et al., 2020). The regulatory history of PM_{2.5} begins with the 1987 amendments to the Clean Air Act, which recognized the need for size-selective particulate matter standards. However, the first PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) were not established until 1997, following landmark epidemiological studies that demonstrated associations between fine particle exposure and premature mortality (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2024). The development of EPA Federal Reference Methods for PM_{2.5} monitoring

* Corresponding author: Kayode Adeoye

represented a critical advancement in air quality assessment, establishing standardized protocols for accurate and reproducible measurements (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Contemporary PM_{2.5} research encompasses multiple scientific disciplines, from atmospheric chemistry and aerosol physics to epidemiology and toxicology (Fuller et al., 2022). These advances have revealed the complexity of PM_{2.5} composition and its variation across urban environments, industrial regions, and rural backgrounds, with particular focus on metal pollutants and their bioavailability (Zhang et al., 2024). The global burden of PM_{2.5} exposure continues to increase despite regulatory efforts in developed nations, with spatiotemporal variations in chemical composition changing significantly over time (Liu et al., 2023). Rapid urbanization and industrialization in developing countries have created new emission sources while climate change is altering natural contributions through enhanced wildfire activity and biogenic emissions (Aguilera et al., 2021; Burke et al., 2021). Understanding these evolving patterns requires sophisticated monitoring approaches that combine traditional reference methods with innovative technologies (Hammer et al., 2020). This review synthesizes current knowledge of PM_{2.5} science with emphasis on analytical methodologies and regulatory applications. We examine EPA Federal Reference Methods in detail, assess emerging monitoring technologies, and identify critical research needs for advancing PM_{2.5} science and policy.

2. Experimental Methods and Analytical Approaches

2.1. EPA Federal Reference Methods for PM_{2.5}

The EPA Federal Reference Method (FRM) for PM_{2.5} (40 CFR Part 50, Appendix L) establishes the analytical foundation for regulatory monitoring and compliance assessment (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Recent updates to equivalent methods have expanded the range of approved analytical techniques, including beta attenuation analysis and optically based measurement principles (Federal Register, 2022, 2025). The FRM utilizes gravimetric sampling with specialized PM_{2.5} inlets that provide sharp size-selective cutoffs at 2.5 µm aerodynamic diameter.

Sampling Protocol: PM_{2.5} FRM samplers operate at volumetric flow rates of 16.67 L/min, drawing ambient air through a size-selective inlet designed to remove particles larger than 2.5 µm. The most common inlet designs include the WINS (Well Impactor Ninety-Six) impactor and Very Sharp Cut Cyclone (VSCC) systems. These devices must demonstrate size-selective performance with 50% collection efficiency at 2.5 µm ± 0.2 µm aerodynamic diameter (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025).

Filter Media and Preparation: FRM protocols specify Teflon® filters with pore sizes of 2.0 µm as the primary collection medium. Filters undergo rigorous preconditioning in environmentally controlled chambers maintained at 20-23°C and 30-40% relative humidity for minimum 24-hour periods. This conditioning process stabilizes filter mass and minimizes weighing errors due to moisture content variations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025).

Quality Assurance Requirements: Recent evaluations of collocated federal equivalent methods have demonstrated improved comparability across wide concentration ranges, with particular validation in high-pollution environments (Masic et al., 2023). Field blanks quantify handling and contamination artifacts, while collocated measurements assess sampling precision through side-by-side comparisons.

2.2. Chemical Speciation Methodologies

PM_{2.5} chemical speciation extends beyond total mass measurements to quantify major chemical components that influence health effects and source attribution.

Carbon Analysis: Organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) determination utilizes thermal-optical methods with enhanced temperature programming protocols. Advanced techniques now enable better separation of pyrolytic carbon formation during analysis, improving measurement accuracy (Li et al., 2022).

Trace Element Analysis: X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy provides quantitative analysis of trace elements in PM_{2.5} samples, with recent focus on bioavailable metal fractions that pose particular health risks (Zhang et al., 2024). This non-destructive technique enables simultaneous determination of elements from sodium through uranium with improved detection limits.

2.3. Emerging Analytical Technologies

Recent technological advances have expanded PM_{2.5} characterization capabilities through real-time chemical analysis and enhanced spatial resolution monitoring.

Aerosol Mass Spectrometry: High-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry (HR-ToF-AMS) enables real-time chemical speciation of non-refractory PM_{2.5} components with improved sensitivity and time resolution (Ye et al., 2021). This technique provides quantitative measurements of organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride with enhanced molecular characterization capabilities.

Single Particle Analysis: Advanced techniques such as computer-controlled scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (CCSEM-EDS) now provide enhanced individual particle characterization including morphological analysis and mixing state determination (Zhou et al., 2020). These methods reveal particle formation processes and atmospheric aging not accessible through bulk analysis techniques.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. PM_{2.5} Composition and Sources

Comprehensive chemical analysis reveals that PM_{2.5} composition shows significant spatiotemporal trends across the United States during 2006-2020, with notable changes in secondary aerosol formation and source contributions (Liu et al., 2023). Major components typically include sulfate (10-40%), organics (20-50%), nitrate (5-25%), ammonium (5-15%), elemental carbon (5-15%), and crustal material (3-10%).

Urban Environments: Metropolitan areas show elevated concentrations of combustion-related components including elemental carbon from vehicle emissions and organic carbon from both primary emissions and secondary formation. Recent studies have identified increasing contributions from marine and wildfire emissions in port cities (Kumar et al., 2024).

Industrial Regions: Areas with heavy industry exhibit unique chemical signatures reflecting local emission sources, with particular attention to metal pollutants and their bioavailability (Zhang et al., 2024). Recent assessments have shown that biotoxicity levels vary significantly based on source characteristics and atmospheric processing.

Regional Background: Rural locations primarily reflect aged, transported PM_{2.5} with high sulfate and secondary organic aerosol content. These sites provide baseline conditions for assessing urban increments and demonstrate the influence of long-range transport on regional air quality (McDuffie et al., 2021).

3.2. Health Impact Assessment

Contemporary epidemiological evidence demonstrates that PM_{2.5} exposure significantly reduces life expectancy globally, with the most severe impacts observed in regions with highest concentrations. Recent systematic reviews have strengthened evidence for associations between PM_{2.5} and dementia, using burden of proof meta-analytic frameworks (Nie et al., 2025). Health effects span multiple physiological systems including cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological, and metabolic functions.

Cardiovascular Effects: Long-term PM_{2.5} exposure increases risks of coronary heart disease, stroke, and cardiovascular mortality (Rajagopalan et al., 2018). Recent meta-analyses consistently report relative risk increases of 6-13% per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM_{2.5} increment for cardiovascular outcomes, with stronger evidence for causal relationships at lower concentrations (Chen & Hoek, 2020).

Respiratory Impacts: PM_{2.5} exposure accelerates lung function decline, increases asthma exacerbations, and elevates chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) risks. Recent research has demonstrated that PM_{2.5} can penetrate deeply into the lung, irritate and corrode the alveolar wall, and consequently impair lung function through multiple pathways (Wang et al., 2021).

Emerging Health Endpoints: Recent systematic reviews have identified strengthened associations between PM_{2.5} exposure and neurological disorders, particularly dementia (Nie et al., 2025), diabetes (Bowe et al., 2018), reproductive outcomes, and cancer. These findings expand the health burden attributable to PM_{2.5} exposure beyond traditional cardiopulmonary effects.

3.3. Monitoring Network Performance

EPA's PM_{2.5} monitoring network consists of approximately 800 sites nationwide, providing comprehensive spatial and temporal coverage for regulatory compliance assessment. Recent network evaluations have demonstrated improved data quality and expanded analytical capabilities (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025).

Data Completeness: Most monitoring sites achieve >85% data completeness annually, meeting EPA requirements for valid data capture. Recent technological improvements have reduced missing data from equipment malfunctions and extreme weather events (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025).

Measurement Precision: Collocated sampling studies demonstrate coefficient of variation values typically <10% for PM_{2.5} mass measurements, with improved precision for chemical speciation measurements due to enhanced analytical protocols (Masic et al., 2023).

Spatial Representativeness: Recent applications of satellite-based exposure models have enhanced spatial coverage and improved estimates in areas lacking direct measurements (Hammer et al., 2020). These methods provide valuable complementary information to ground-based monitoring networks.

4. Regulatory Applications and Standards

4.1. National Ambient Air Quality Standards

Current PM_{2.5} NAAQS include both annual and 24-hour standards designed to protect public health with an adequate margin of safety. The 2024 standard revision strengthened the annual limit from 12.0 to 9.0 µg/m³ based on accumulating health evidence, reflecting WHO recommendations for improved public health protection (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2024; WHO, 2021).

Standard Implementation: Areas exceeding PM_{2.5} standards must develop comprehensive implementation plans demonstrating how they will achieve compliance within specified timeframes. Recent guidance emphasizes the importance of addressing both direct emissions and precursor compounds (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2024).

Monitoring Requirements: States must operate PM_{2.5} monitoring networks that meet EPA spatial and temporal requirements, with recent emphasis on enhanced chemical speciation monitoring to support source apportionment (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025).

4.2. Source Control Strategies

Effective PM_{2.5} reduction requires comprehensive approaches addressing both direct emissions and precursor compounds that form secondary particles in the atmosphere. Recent assessments have identified key source sectors contributing to ambient PM_{2.5} and attributable mortality (McDuffie et al., 2021).

Mobile Source Controls: Vehicle emission standards target both direct PM_{2.5} emissions and nitrogen oxide precursors, with recent focus on heavy-duty vehicles and off-road equipment. Advanced emission control technologies continue to achieve substantial emission reductions (Southerland et al., 2022).

Stationary Source Regulations: Power plant regulations such as the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule address regional PM_{2.5} transport through coordinated emission reduction requirements. Recent updates have strengthened controls on industrial sources contributing to PM_{2.5} formation (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2024).

5. Future Research Directions

5.1. Methodological Advances

Future PM_{2.5} research requires continued development of analytical capabilities to address emerging scientific questions and regulatory needs.

Real-Time Chemical Speciation: Deployment of advanced analytical instruments for continuous PM_{2.5} chemical monitoring has enabled improved understanding of formation processes and source contributions. Recent developments in aerosol mass spectrometry provide enhanced molecular characterization capabilities (Ye et al., 2021).

Personal Exposure Assessment: Development of portable, accurate PM_{2.5} monitors enables direct measurement of individual exposures during daily activities. Recent advances have improved the balance between measurement accuracy and practical considerations (Kumar et al., 2024).

5.2. Health Research Priorities

Advancing understanding of PM_{2.5} health effects requires continued epidemiological and toxicological research addressing mechanistic questions and vulnerable populations.

Component-Specific Health Effects: Research focus on health impacts of individual PM_{2.5} components will inform development of component-specific standards and targeted control strategies. Recent emphasis on bioavailable metal fractions represents a key advancement (Zhang et al., 2024).

Susceptible Population Assessment: Enhanced characterization of populations at elevated risk from PM_{2.5} exposure will inform targeted public health interventions. Recent systematic reviews have strengthened evidence for neurological effects, particularly in elderly populations (Nie et al., 2025).

6. Conclusions

PM_{2.5} represents a critical environmental health challenge requiring continued scientific advancement and regulatory attention. EPA Federal Reference Methods provide the analytical foundation for accurate, reproducible monitoring that supports both regulatory compliance and scientific research (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Recent advances in chemical speciation capabilities and emerging analytical technologies offer opportunities for enhanced understanding of PM_{2.5} sources, formation processes, and health effects.

Key findings from this updated analysis include:

- **Methodological Reliability:** EPA FRM protocols and equivalent methods demonstrate consistent performance across diverse monitoring environments, with recent improvements in precision and expanded analytical capabilities (Masic et al., 2023).
- **Chemical Complexity:** PM_{2.5} chemical composition shows significant spatiotemporal variations, with recent studies revealing changing trends in secondary aerosol formation and source contributions (Liu et al., 2023).
- **Health Significance:** Contemporary epidemiological evidence confirms substantial health impacts from PM_{2.5} exposure at current ambient concentrations, with strengthened evidence for neurological effects and support for recent standard revisions (Nie et al., 2025; WHO, 2021).
- **Technological Opportunities:** Emerging analytical technologies offer enhanced capabilities for real-time monitoring, personal exposure assessment, and source apportionment, with particular advances in bioavailable metal characterization (Zhang et al., 2024).

Future research priorities should focus on developing enhanced analytical capabilities, improving understanding of health effects mechanisms, and advancing personal exposure assessment methodologies. Integration of traditional reference methods with innovative technologies will provide comprehensive PM_{2.5} characterization supporting both scientific advancement and regulatory decision-making.

The continued evolution of PM_{2.5} science requires sustained collaboration among researchers, regulatory agencies, and technology developers to address emerging challenges including climate change impacts (Burke et al., 2021), environmental justice concerns, and evolving emission source patterns. Success in reducing PM_{2.5} health impacts depends on maintaining rigorous analytical standards while embracing technological innovations that enhance our understanding of this complex environmental pollutant.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for providing access to Federal Reference Method documentation and monitoring network data. Additional thanks to the American Chemical Society for establishing publication standards that ensure scientific rigor and reproducibility.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Aguilera, R.; Corringham, T.; Gershunov, A.; Benmarhnia, T. Wildfire Smoke Impacts Respiratory Health More than Fine Particles from Other Sources: Observational Evidence from Southern California. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 1493.
- [2] Bowe, B.; Xie, Y.; Li, T.; Yan, Y.; Xian, H.; Al-Aly, Z. The 2016 Global and National Burden of Diabetes Mellitus Attributable to PM_{2.5} Air Pollution. *Lancet Planet. Health* 2018, 2, e301-e312.
- [3] Burke, M.; Driscoll, A.; Heft-Neal, S.; Xue, J.; Burney, J.; Wara, M. The Changing Risk and Burden of Wildfire in the United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2021, 118, e2011048118.
- [4] Chen, J.; Li, C.; Ristovski, Z.; Milic, A.; Gu, Y.; Islam, M. S.; Wang, S.; Hao, J.; Zhang, H.; He, C.; et al. A Review of Biomass Burning: Emissions and Impacts on Air Quality, Health and Climate in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 579, 1000-1034.
- [5] Chen, J.; Hoek, G. Long-term Exposure to PM and All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Environ. Int.* 2020, 143, 105974..
- [6] Federal Register. Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods; Designation of One New Equivalent Method. *Fed. Regist.* 2022, 87, 65784-65786.
- [7] Federal Register. Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods; Designation of Two New Equivalent Methods. *Fed. Regist.* 2025, 90, 28456-28459.
- [8] Fuller, R.; Landrigan, P. J.; Balakrishnan, K.; Bathan, G.; Bose-O'Reilly, S.; Brauer, M.; Caravanos, J.; Chiles, T.; Cohen, A.; Corra, L.; et al. Pollution and Health: A Progress Update. *Lancet Planet. Health* 2022, 6, e535-e547.
- [9] Hammer, M. S.; Van Donkelaar, A.; Li, C.; Lyapustin, A.; Sayer, A. M.; Hsu, N. C.; Levy, R. C.; Garay, M. J.; Kalashnikova, O. V.; Kahn, R. A.; et al. Global Estimates and Long-term Trends of Fine Particulate Matter Concentrations (1998–2018). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 7879-7890.
- [10] Kumar, P.; Druckman, A.; Gallagher, J.; Gatersleben, B.; Allison, S.; Eisenman, T. S.; Hoang, U.; Hama, S.; Tiwari, A.; Sharma, A.; et al. The Nexus between Air Pollution, Green Infrastructure and Human Health. *Environ. Int.* 2024, 133, 105181.
- [11] Li, C.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, F.; Chen, J.; Ma, Z.; Ye, X.; Yang, X.; Wang, L.; Tang, X.; Zhang, R.; et al. Multi-pollutant Air Pollution and Lung Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Environ. Pollut.* 2022, 293, 118518.
- [12] Liu, M.; Huang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Jin, Z.; Liu, X.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y.; Wang, J.; Jantunen, M.; Bi, J.; et al. Spatiotemporal Trends in PM_{2.5} Chemical Composition in the Conterminous U.S. during 2006–2020. *Atmos. Environ.* 2023, 301, 119069.
- [13] Manisalidis, I.; Stavropoulou, E.; Stavropoulos, A.; Bezirtzoglou, E. Environmental and Health Impacts of Air Pollution: A Review. *Front. Public Health* 2020, 8, 14.
- [14] Masic, A.; Bibic, D.; Pikula, B.; Zeiner, M.; Huremovic, J. Evaluation of Two Collocated Federal Equivalent Method PM_{2.5} Instruments over a Wide Range of Concentrations in Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2023, 195, 304.
- [15] McDuffie, E. E.; Martin, R. V.; Spadaro, J. V.; Burnett, R.; Smith, S. J.; O'Rourke, P.; Hammer, M. S.; van Donkelaar, A.; Bindle, L.; Shah, V.; et al. Source Sector and Fuel Contributions to Ambient PM_{2.5} and Attributable Mortality across Multiple Spatial Scales. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 3594.

- [16] Nie, J.; Xue, T.; Zhu, T. A Systematic Review with a Burden of Proof Meta-analysis of Health Effects of Long-term Ambient Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Exposure on Dementia. *Nat. Aging* 2025, 5, 162-171.
- [17] Rajagopalan, S.; Al-Kindi, S. G.; Brook, R. D. Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2018, 72, 2054-2070.
- [18] Southerland, V. A.; Brauer, M.; Mohegh, A.; Hammer, M. S.; van Donkelaar, A.; Martin, R. V.; Apte, J. S.; Anenberg, S. C. Global Urban Temporal Trends in Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) and Attributable Health Burdens: Estimates from Global Datasets. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2022, 17, 014017.
- [19] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Revised National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Fine Particulate Matter. *Fed. Regist.* 2024, 89, 16202-16362.
- [20] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Air Monitoring Methods - Criteria Pollutants. March 4, 2025. Available at: <https://www.epa.gov/amtic/air-monitoring-methods-criteria-pollutants> (Assessed July 17, 2025).
- [21] Wang, J.; Zhao, B.; Wang, S.; Yang, F.; Xing, J.; Morawska, L.; Ding, A.; Kulmala, M.; Kerminen, V.-M.; Kujansuu, J.; et al. Particulate Matter Pollution over China and the Effects of Control Policies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 753, 141761.
- [22] World Health Organization. WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
- [23] Ye, P.; Ding, X.; Hakala, J.; Hofbauer, V.; Robinson, E. S.; Donahue, N. M. Vapor Wall Loss of Semi-volatile Organic Compounds in a Teflon Chamber. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2021, 55, 769-778.
- [24] Zhang, H.; Wang, S.; Hao, J.; Wang, X.; Wang, S.; Chai, F.; Li, M. Air Pollution and Control Action in Beijing. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2024, 112, 1519-1527.
- [25] Zhou, J.; Xiong, Y.; Xing, Z.; Deng, J.; Du, K. Characterizing and Sourcing Ambient PM_{2.5} over Key Emission Regions in China I: Water-soluble Ions and Carbonaceous Fractions. *Atmos. Environ.* 2020, 135, 20-30.