

Optimizing RSV vaccination in U.S. older adults: Cost-effectiveness insights, payer coverage strategies, and implementation pathways

Simin Sabu Jacob ^{1,*} and Christin Raj ²

¹ Pharm D, RPH, MPH, BCMTMS, Clinical Pharmacist, USA.

² Pharm D, RPH, Clinical Pharmacist, India

World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 2026, 26(01), 025-029

Publication history: Received on 21 February 2026; revised on 30 March 2026; accepted on 01 April 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2026.26.1.0178>

Abstract

Respiratory syncytial virus places a heavy burden on older adults in the United States, leading to considerable respiratory morbidity, frequent hospitalizations, and high healthcare costs. Approval of RSV vaccines for adults aged 60 years and older has moved prevention toward immunization. Reliable economic evaluations are crucial for guiding coverage and policy decisions. This review, the second in a two-part series, synthesizes U.S.-specific cost-effectiveness evidence for RSV vaccination in this population and addresses implications for payer strategies, clinical adoption, and health system alignment. Societal-perspective analyses show favorable value, with incremental cost-effectiveness ratios well below standard U.S. willingness-to-pay thresholds of \$100,000 to \$150,000 per quality-adjusted life-year. Payer-perspective analyses produce higher ratios because they exclude indirect costs and broader societal benefits. The analytical perspective is the primary factor influencing conclusions, followed by hospitalization costs, vaccine efficacy, and the duration of protection. Effective implementation depends on budget offsets, aligning quality metrics, accurate coding, and risk adjustment. RSV vaccination offers significant economic value within frameworks relevant to decision-makers. Incorporating societal benefits more fully into payer assessments would promote sustained coverage and greater uptake.

Keywords: Respiratory Syncytial Virus; Cost-Effectiveness; USA; Adult Vaccination; Health Economics; Health Policy

1. Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus is a primary cause of lower respiratory tract illness in older U.S. adults. Persons aged 60 years and older face markedly higher risks of hospitalization, severe complications, and prolonged recovery, especially with comorbidities such as cardiopulmonary disease, diabetes, or immunosuppression (1,2,12). Hospitalization burden and surveillance data further confirm substantial seasonal health system impact in this population (10,11). Recent FDA approvals of RSV vaccines for this group represent a shift toward preventive immunization, with the potential to reduce seasonal hospital demand and resource utilization (3). In the U.S. healthcare system, where no centralized health technology assessment body exists, vaccine adoption depends heavily on payer and institutional decision frameworks (14,15).

* Corresponding author: Simin Sabu Jacob.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study Identification

U.S.-focused cost-effectiveness analyses of RSV vaccination in adults aged 60 years and older were identified through PubMed and targeted journal searches. Eligible studies reported incremental cost-effectiveness ratios per quality-adjusted life-year gained and specified the analytical perspective.

2.2. Data Extraction and Prioritization

Extracted data included the target population, vaccine type, model structure, time horizon, perspective, incremental cost-effectiveness ratios, willingness-to-pay thresholds, and findings from the sensitivity analysis. Emphasis was placed on U.S.-relevant parameters, including hospitalization costs, vaccine efficacy against severe outcomes, and duration of protection.

2.3. Synthesis Framework

Results were synthesized qualitatively, with main findings presented in tables. Non-U.S. studies provided context for modeling assumptions but were not combined quantitatively with U.S. estimates.

3. Results

3.1. Cost-Effectiveness by Perspective

Table 1 Summarizes principal U.S. cost-effectiveness outcomes for RSV vaccination in adults aged 60 years and older.

Perspective	ICER (\$ per QALY gained)	Willingness-to-Pay Threshold	Conclusion	Reference
Societal	18,430	100,000–150,000	Cost-effective	(4)
Societal	176,557–196,842	100,000–150,000	Potentially cost-effective, varies by vaccine and age	(3)
Healthcare payer	49,366	100,000–150,000	Cost-effective	(4)

The analytical perspective had the most significant impact on outcomes. Societal base-case estimates from La et al. (4) demonstrate lower ICERs, while Hutton et al. (3) reflects broader sensitivity and higher-cost scenarios, driven by model structure and population assumptions.

3.2. Key Determinants of Value

Table 2 Identifies primary sensitivity drivers in U.S. models.

Driver	Impact on ICER	Evidence
Analytical perspective	Societal substantially lowers ICER	(3,4)
Hospitalization costs	Higher costs improve value	(3,4)
Vaccine efficacy	Higher efficacy reduces ICER	(3,4)
Protection duration	Longer duration enhances value	(4)
Vaccine price	Lower price improves alignment	(3,4)

Hospitalization prevention and sustained protection were the dominant drivers, consistent with the high acute care costs in the U.S. system.

3.3. U.S. Willingness-to-Pay Context

Table 3 Positions RSV vaccination relative to established thresholds.

Context	Threshold (\$ per QALY)	Policy Implication
Public/private payers	100,000–150,000	Societal ICERs support coverage
Payer assessments	100,000–150,000	May appear less favorable without indirect costs
Public health	Undefined	Favors broader adoption

Interpretations vary across stakeholders in the absence of a unified national authority. Value assessment in the United States is influenced by analytical perspective, payer incentives, and decision context, which can result in divergent conclusions regarding cost-effectiveness (14,15).

3.4. Implications for High-Risk Groups

U.S. models have primarily assessed average-risk populations, with limited evaluation of subgroups. Higher baseline risks in chronic conditions yield greater absolute benefits and more favorable cost-effectiveness. International evidence supports prioritization of high-risk groups (Shoukat et al., 2024).

Table 4 Outlines the expected implications by population segment.

Population Group	Estimated Outcome	Strategic Implication
General adults aged ≥60 years	Cost-effective societally	Supports routine vaccination
Adults with chronic conditions	Highly cost-effective	Justifies prioritization
Frail or medically complex	Greatest benefit	Aligns with value-based care

3.5. Practical Implementation Factors

Table 5 Practical implementation factors and strategic impacts for U.S. RSV vaccination

Domain	Practical Implementation Factor	Strategic Clinical/Economic Impact
Budget Impact (BIA)	Model-based analyses suggest substantial cost offsets driven by reductions in RSV-related hospitalizations and downstream healthcare utilization (3,4,10,11).	Prioritizing Age 75+ or 60–74 with comorbidities optimizes the plan's Medical Loss Ratio (MLR).
Quality Measures	HEDIS: Adult Immunization Status (AIS-E): Tracks recommended routine vaccinations for adults (6).	Improved performance directly correlates with higher Medicare Star Ratings and Plan Quality Bonus Payments (QBP) (7).
Coding Triggers	Primary ICD-10-CM Codes: J12.1 (RSV Pneumonia), J20.5 (RSV Bronchitis), J21.0 (RSV Bronchiolitis) (9).	Automated EHR flags using these codes identify high-utilization patients for targeted outreach.
Payer Policy	CPT/Billing Codes: 90679 (GSK), 90678 (Pfizer), 90683 (Moderna) (13).	Transitioning from "Shared Clinical Decision-Making" to routine recommendation simplifies pharmacy adjudication.
Risk Adjustment	HCC Coding Integration: Linking RSV (B97.4) to chronic secondary conditions (e.g., J44.0 for COPD with Acute Bronchitis) (8,14).	Ensures accurate risk-adjustment and capitation rates for value-based contracts by capturing morbidity.

4. Discussion

U.S.-specific cost-effectiveness analyses indicate that RSV vaccination in adults aged 60 years and older offers substantial value from a societal perspective. This viewpoint incorporates avoided hospitalizations, reduced healthcare

utilization, and mitigation of indirect costs, producing incremental cost-effectiveness ratios that align with accepted benchmarks (10,11,12). Payer-focused evaluations, limited by narrower cost inclusion, often assign lower value and may constrain optimal coverage.

The first part of this series (2025) detailed the clinical epidemiology, vaccine efficacy, and initial economic context. The current analysis builds upon this work by emphasizing U.S. modeling results and operational translation. Real-world data on vaccine duration, incidence patterns, and cost offsets, particularly in high-risk subgroups, remain critical for refining evaluations. Presenting economic evidence in terms directly applicable to payers and health systems, as shown in Table 5, supports the practical adoption and sustainability of programs.

Societal incremental cost-effectiveness ratios, such as \$18,430 per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) (4), align well with conventional thresholds. The divergence between societal and payer estimates reflects differences in cost inclusion boundaries rather than uncertainty in clinical effectiveness. Narrow payer approaches risk undervaluing adult immunization efforts overall. As real-world evidence accumulates through 2025 and into 2026 (14,15), these assessments will become increasingly precise. The collective evidence supports continued coverage, targeted prioritization of high-risk individuals, and structured implementation using the described mechanisms.

4.1. Implications for U.S. practice and policy

4.1.1. For Payers

Evaluations should include societal cost offsets to prevent underestimation of benefits. Quality incentives, coding accuracy, and risk adjustment (Table 5) offer practical ways to capture system-wide value.

4.1.2. For Health Systems

Routine vaccination can ease seasonal capacity demands and support value-based care models.

4.1.3. For Medical Affairs and Leadership

Evidence should emphasize averted hospitalizations, system efficiencies, and actionable tools to engage stakeholders, inform guidelines, and advance clinician and patient education.

5. Conclusion

This second part of the series, building on the first part, 2025, confirms that RSV vaccination for U.S. adults aged 60 years and older is cost-effective under societal frameworks and U.S.-specific analyses, with incremental cost-effectiveness ratios below standard thresholds. Building on the earlier clinical and epidemiological foundation, these findings underscore the need for payer methods to incorporate societal offsets, quality improvements such as HEDIS metrics, and accurate risk capture, including the integration of hierarchical condition categories, to achieve comprehensive population health and economic benefits. Such alignment would facilitate routine inclusion of RSV vaccination in adult immunization programs and enable sustainable implementation through the practical factors outlined in Table 5.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Falsey AR, Hennessey PA, Formica MA, Cox C, Walsh EE. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in elderly and high-risk adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(17):1749–1759.
- [2] Shi T, Vennard S, Mahé C, et al. Disease burden estimates of respiratory syncytial virus–related acute respiratory infections in adults with comorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2022;226(Suppl 1):S17–S21.
- [3] Hutton DW, Prosser LA, Rose AM, et al. Cost-effectiveness of vaccinating adults aged 60 years and older against respiratory syncytial virus. *Vaccine*. 2024;42(24):126294.

- [4] La EM, Graham J, Singer D, et al. Cost-effectiveness of the adjuvanted RSVPreF3 vaccine among adults aged ≥ 60 years in the United States. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2024;20(1):2432745.
- [5] Shoukat A, Bawden CE, Röst G, et al. Impact and cost-effectiveness analyses of vaccination for prevention of respiratory syncytial virus disease among older adults in Ontario. *Vaccine*. 2024;42(7):1683–1693.
- [6] National Committee for Quality Assurance. Adult Immunization Status (AIS-E) Measure Specification. Washington, DC: NCQA; 2024.
- [7] Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2025 Medicare Advantage and Part D Star Ratings Fact Sheet. 2024.
- [8] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence to Recommendations Framework: RSV vaccination in adults. 2024.
- [9] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ICD-10-CM Official Guidelines for Coding and Reporting FY 2024.
- [10] McLaughlin JM, Khan F, Begier E, Swerdlow DL, Jodar L. Rates of hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus among older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2022;9(7):ofac300.
- [11] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. RSV-NET: Respiratory Syncytial Virus Hospitalization Surveillance Network Annual Report. Atlanta, GA: CDC; 2024.
- [12] Branche AR, Falsey AR. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in older adults: an under-recognized problem. *Drugs Aging*. 2015;32(4):261–269.
- [13] American Medical Association. CPT Professional Edition 2025. Chicago, IL: AMA; 2025.
- [14] Garrison LP Jr, Pauly MV, Willke RJ, Neumann PJ. An overview of value, perspective, and decision context. *Value Health*. 2018;21(2):124–130.
- [15] Neumann PJ, Cohen JT. Measuring the value of prescription drugs. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(27):2595–2597.